



Single-drop microextraction for extraction of some phenolic contents leached from bottle water samples

Chammui, Y.

Chemistry Program, Faculty of Science and Technology, Pibulsongkram Rajabhat University,
Phitsanulok 65000, Thailand

Article history

Received: 24 July 2015
Received in revised form:
23 November 2015
Accepted: 6 December 2015

Keywords

SDME
GC-MS
Phenolic contents
Bisphenol A

Abstract

A single-drop microextraction (SDME) has been developed for the GC-MS determination of phenolic contents in water samples. Factors affecting extraction efficiency were studied including the organic solvent, ionic strength, drop volume, pH of the solution, stirring rate, temperature and time of extraction. Under the selected conditions, i.e., the organic solvent, ionic strength, drop volume, pH of the solution, stirring rate, extraction temperature and extraction time were hexyl acetate, 1% of NaCl, 2.5 μ L of drop volume, pH 2, 200 rpm of stirring rate, 30°C of extraction temperature and 10 min of extraction time, respectively. After extraction step, the acceptor drop was withdrawn and directly injected into a GC-MS instrument for analysis. This method is a promising alternative for the sensitive determination of phenolic contents. In addition, the SDME also demonstrated that the method is simple, fast and efficiently for determining of phenolic contents from water.

© All Rights Reserved

Introduction

Endocrine disrupting chemicals (EDCs) such as phenolic contents are important pollutants in environment because of their wide use in many industrial processes, such as the manufacture of plastics, dyes, drugs, detergents and pesticides (Saraji *et al.*, 2005). The alkylphenols (APs) including, 4-tert-octylphenol (4-t-OP), 4-octylphenol (4-OP) and 4-nonylphenol (4-NP) and chlorophenols (CPs) including 2,4-dichlorophenol (2,4 DCP), 2,4,6-trichlorophenol (2,4,6-TCP) and pentachlorophenol (PCP) and bisphenol A (BPA) have been shown to exhibit endocrine disrupting properties in wildlife and laboratory animals (Basheer *et al.*, 2004). The APs mainly enter municipal wastewater treatment plants via industrial effluents. Due to their polarity, persistence and water solubility APs can pass through wastewater treatment plant (Braun *et al.*, 2003). For example, 4-NP has an estrogenic effect in nature, which can cause the feminization of male fish, resulting in a lack of reproductive success (Helaleh *et al.*, 2001). CPs are widely used as wood preservative agents, herbicide, pesticide and disinfectants and used as intermediates in many industrial processes (Sarrion *et al.*, 2002). The toxicity of CPs depends on the pH and the total number of chlorine atom in the molecule, PCP being the most toxic of the 19 members of this family (Campillo *et al.*, 2006). BPA is one of the most commonly produced industrial

chemicals in the world and is a component of polycarbonate plastics and epoxy resins, the use of which include lining food cans, nail polish and food packaging materials (Helaleh *et al.*, 2001; Braun *et al.*, 2003). They have been discharged directly or indirectly to the environment and contaminated the atmosphere, water and soil. BPA is widely used in tableware as a material in polycarbonate resin and is possibly ingested by humans through food and water. This compound can be leached from the can coating, drinking water bottle and baby milk bottle when heated at a high-temperature. There have been several scientific studies investigating the release of Bisphenol A (Wu *et al.*, 2010; Alin, 2012; Li *et al.*, 2013; Fan *et al.*, 2014; Lane *et al.*, 2015) from polycarbonate, because in the past few years it was shown that this compound could have endocrine disrupting or estrogenic properties.

Conventional extraction methods, such as liquid-liquid extraction (LLE), solid-phase extraction (SPE), solid-phase microextraction (SPME) and single-drop microextraction (SDME) are widely used as the extracted of some phenolic contents. Recently, the attractive technique of SDME has been developed as an alternative of SPME. SDME is a fairly new method of sample preparation, initially proposed and developed by Jeannot and Cantwell (Jeannot *et al.*, 1996), provides an alternative technique, which integrates sampling, extracting, concentrating and

*Corresponding author.
Email: chammui24@gmail.com

sample introduction into a single step (Zhang *et al.*, 2008). In practice, two main approaches can be used to perform SDMEs. They are headspace and direct SDME techniques. In direct SDME, a drop of a water-immiscible solvent is suspended directly from the tip of a microsyringe needle immersed in the aqueous sample (Jermak *et al.*, 2006). The technique is very inexpensive, and the drop is completely renewable at negligible cost and provides analyte extraction in a few microliters of organic solvents (Saraji *et al.*, 2005). This technique was based on the principle of distribution of analytes between a microdrop of extraction solvent at the tip of a microsyringe needle and the aqueous phase. The microdrop is exposed to an aqueous sample where analytes is extracted into the drop. After extraction, the microdrop is retracted back into the microsyringe and injected into the instruments such as GC and HPLC for further analysis (Rahul *et al.*, 2006). Therefore, the aim of this research was to separate some phenolic contents leached from bottle water samples by using the direct-SDME.

Materials and Methods

Materials

All standard solutions studied i.e. 2,4,6-TCP (98%), 4-t-OP (97%), 4-OP (99%) and BPA (99%) from Sigma-Aldrich (Milwaukee, WI, USA); 4-NP (98.5%) from Supelco (Bellefonte, PA, USA) were used and prepared as stock standard solutions (1000 µg/mL). Then, working standard solutions of mixture containing each compound at 1 µg/mL were obtained by diluting the stock solution with methanol. Internal standard solution (10 µg/mL) of phenanthrene-d10 was prepared in methanol. All standard solutions were stored in a refrigerator prior to use.

Preparation of synthetic sample solutions

All synthetic samples were prepared as follows: Five bottles samples were purchased from a local supermarket in Chiang Mai province, Thailand. Then, they were added 300 mL of distilled water. All samples were heated at 45°C in the oven for 72 and 144 hours, respectively. Finally, the synthetic water samples were extracted for the determination of phenolic content by using direct-SDME procedure.

SDME procedure

A 10 µL GC microsyringe (Agilent, USA) was used to perform SDME experiments. A 20 mL of distilled water was spiked with an appropriate amount of phenolic standard solution and adjust pH to 2 with dilute HCl solution. In addition, the increasing ionic

strength with 1% NaCl was added. Then the sample was introduced in a 20 mL glass vial equipped with a screw-cap and a silicone septum. After uptake of 2.5 µL of acceptor solution (hexyl acetate) the needle of the syringe was then inserted into the direct of the sample solution. The syringe plunger was depressed and a microdrop of acceptor phase was suspended from the needle tip. After an optimized period of time, the plunger was withdrawn and the microdrop was retracted back into the syringe. The needle was removed from the direct and its content was introduced to a GC-MS for subsequent analysis.

Based upon the SDME procedure, we followed the process of Zhang *et al.* (Zhang *et al.*, 2008). Afterwards, 20 mL of donor water sample, 2.5 µL of hexyl acetate, 1% of NaCl, pH 2, 200 rpm of stirring rate, 30°C of extraction temperature with 10 min of the extraction time were chosen for the analytical application of all target contents in the standard and sample solutions.

GC-MS analysis

All analysis in this study was carried on an Agilent 6890/5973 GC-MS system (Agilent, USA). The GC was fitted with a HP-5MS capillary column (30 m × 0.25 mm i.d., 0.25 µm film thickness, Agilent, USA). The carrier gas was high-purity helium (99.999%) with a constant flow of 1.0 mL/min. The injector temperature was kept at 280°C and all injections were made in the splitless mode. The temperature program applied was as follows: the initial oven temperature was set at 140°C, held for 1 min, then the temperature was increased to 160°C, held for 1 min at 20°C/min and from 160°C up to 230°C, held for 0.5 min at 50°C/min and finally from 230°C up to 240°C, held for 3 min at 10°C/min. Finally, the MS was operated in an electron impact (EI) mode with an ion source temperature of 230°C and the transfer line was held at 280°C.

Results and Discussion

Choice of extraction

As immersed SDME was concerned, the extractant has to meet several requirements: to have low solubility in water, to extraction analytes well, to be separated from the chromatographic peaks of the analytes (Ye *et al.*, 2007) and to be less toxic. The final choice of solvent should be based on comparison of selectivity, extraction efficiency, incidence of drop loss, rate of drop dissolution (especially for faster stirring rates and extended extraction times). The basis of these considerations, six solvents such as 1-hexanol, 1-heptanol, 1-octanol, butyl acetate,